

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,970	11/18/2003	Patrice Martinez	41052/294321	8909
23370	7590 02/08/2006		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ			DOSTER GREENE, DINNATIA JO	
	STOCKTON, LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, (3743	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/716,970	MARTINEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dinnatia Doster-Greene	3743				
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 8-10 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 3-7 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	a> □ 1-4	(DTO 412)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Affidavit filed on November 17, 2005 (and a courtesy copy of the Affifdavit was e-mailed to the Examiner on February 3, 2006) under 37 CFR 1.131 is insufficient because it is unsigned. Therefore, the previous rejection stands and is now made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patterson (U.S. Patent No. 6,026,802) in view of Scherrer (U.S. Patent No. 6,886,713). In Figure 2, Patterson discloses an outdoor appliance

enclosure which is capable of functioning as a stowage box for an emergency breathing mask for the flight crew of an airplane. The box comprises a frame forming a receptacle which is capable of receiving the mask. The frame has an open face (22) through which the mask is inserted and extracted. At least two doors closing the open face of the frame.

Thus, Patterson discloses the claimed invention with the exception of at least in part, the two doors are hinged about two hinge axes situated respectively on two adjacent edges of the open face and substantially perpendicular to each other. However, Scherrer, which also relates to a storage compartment, teaches that it is known to build a storage compartment having dual axis so that the first axis is oriented substantially perpendicular to the second axis (Scherrer, Abstract and Fig. 1). Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the dual axis of Scherrer into the enclosure of Patterson for the purpose of allowing convenient access to the contents of the enclosure of Patterson as taught by Scherrer (Scherrer, col. 1, liens 33-35).

Patterson also discloses having two doors each substantially in the form of a triangular plate, with a vertex having two sides forming a right angle, one of these sides being hinged about one of the two hinge axes. Patterson further teaches the concept of having an opening adapted to permit the appliance (i.e., mask and its lug handles) to extend there-through.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinnatia Doster-Greene whose telephone number is 571-272-7143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the
examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791.
 The fax phone number for the organization where this application or
proceeding is assigned is 571-271-7143.

Page 5

3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ddg

Supervisor Patent Examiner